arXiv:1702.01656v1 [math.DG] 6 Feb 2017

A Capillary Surface with No Radial Limits

C. Patric Mitchell
Department of Mathematics, Statistics & Physics
Wichita State University
Wichita, Kansas, 67260-0033

Abstract

In 1996, Kirk Lancaster and David Siegel investigated the existence and behavior of radial limits at a corner of the boundary of the domain of solutions of capillary and other prescribed mean curvature problems with contact angle boundary data. In Theorem 3, they provide an example of a capillary surface in a unit disk D which has no radial limits at $(0,0) \in \partial D$. In their example, the contact angle (γ) cannot be bounded away from zero and π. Here we consider a domain Ω with a convex corner at $(0,0)$ and find a capillary surface $z = f(x,y)$ in $\Omega \times \mathbb{R}$ which has no radial limits at $(0,0) \in \partial\Omega$ such that γ is bounded away from 0 and π.

1 Introduction

Let Ω be a domain in $\mathbb{R}^2$ with locally Lipschitz boundary and $\mathcal{O} = (0,0) \in \partial\Omega$ such that $\partial\Omega \setminus \{\mathcal{O}\}$ is a C^4 curve and $\Omega \subset B_1(0,1)$, where $B_\delta(\mathcal{N})$ is the open ball in $\mathbb{R}^2$ of radius δ about $\mathcal{N} \in \mathbb{R}^2$. Denote the unit exterior normal to Ω at $(x,y) \in \partial\Omega$ by $\nu(x,y)$ and let polar coordinates relative to $\mathcal{O}$ be denoted by r and θ. We shall assume there exists a $\delta^* \in (0,2)$ and $\alpha \in \left(0, \frac{\pi}{2}\right)$ such that $\partial\Omega \cap B_{\delta^*}(\mathcal{O})$ consists of the line segments

$$\partial^+\Omega^* = \{(r\cos(\alpha), r\sin(\alpha)) : 0 \le r \le \delta^*\}$$

and

$$\partial^-\Omega^* = \{(r\cos(-\alpha), r\sin(-\alpha)) : 0 \le r \le \delta^*\}.$$

Set $\Omega^* = \Omega \cap B_{\delta^*}(\mathcal{O})$. Let $\gamma : \partial\Omega \to [0,\pi]$ be given. Let $(x^\pm(s), y^\pm(s))$ be arclength parametrizations of $\partial^\pm\Omega$ with $(x^+(0), y^+(0)) = (x^-(0), y^-(0)) = (0,0)$ and set $\gamma^\pm(s) = \gamma(x^\pm(s), y^\pm(s))$.

Consider the capillary problem of finding a function $f \in C^2(\Omega) \cap C^1(\overline{\Omega} \setminus \{\mathcal{O}\})$ satisfying

$$\operatorname{div}(Tf) = \frac{1}{2} f \quad \text{in } \Omega \tag{1}$$

and

$$Tf \cdot \nu = \cos(\gamma) \text{ on } \partial\Omega \setminus \{\mathcal{O}\}, \tag{2}$$

where $Tf = \frac{\nabla f}{\sqrt{1+|\nabla f|^2}}$. We are interested in the existence of the radial limits $Rf(\cdot)$ of a solution f of (1)–(2), where

$$Rf(\theta) = \lim_{r \to 0^+} f(r\cos\theta, r\sin\theta), -\alpha < \theta < \alpha$$

and $Rf(\pm\alpha) = \lim_{\partial^{\pm}\Omega^* \ni \mathbf{x} \to \mathcal{O}} f(\mathbf{x}), \mathbf{x} = (x, y)$, which are the limits of the boundary values of f on the two sides of the corner if these exist. In [2], the following is proven:

Proposition 1. *Let f be a bounded solution to (1) satisfying (2) on $\partial^{\pm}\Omega^* \setminus \{\mathcal{O}\}$ which is discontinuous at $\mathcal{O}$. If $\alpha > \pi/2$ then $Rf(\theta)$ exists for all $\theta \in (-\alpha, \alpha)$. If $\alpha \leq \pi/2$ and there exist constants $\underline{\gamma}^{\pm}, \overline{\gamma}^{\pm}, 0 \leq \underline{\gamma}^{\pm} \leq \overline{\gamma}^{\pm} \leq \pi$, satisfying*

$$\pi - 2\alpha < \underline{\gamma}^{+} + \underline{\gamma}^{-} \leq \overline{\gamma}^{+} + \overline{\gamma}^{-} < \pi + 2\alpha$$

so that $\underline{\gamma}^{\pm} \leq \gamma^{\pm}(s) \leq \overline{\gamma}^{\pm}$ for all $s, 0 < s < s_0$, for some s_0, then again $Rf(\theta)$ exists for all $\theta \in (-\alpha, \alpha)$.

In [5], Lancaster and Siegel proved this theorem with the additional restriction that γ be bounded away from 0 and π; Figure 1 illustrates these cases.



Figure 1: The Concus-Finn rectangle (A & C) with regions $\mathcal{R}$ (yellow), $D_2^{\pm}$ (blue) and $D_1^{\pm}$ (green); the restrictions on γ in [5] (red region in B) and in [2] (red region in D).

In Theorem 3 of [5], Lancaster and Siegel also proved

Proposition 2. *Let Ω be the disk of radius 1 centered at $(1, 0)$. Then there exists a solution to $Nf = \frac{1}{2}f$ in $\Omega, |f| \leq 2, f \in C^2(\Omega) \cap C^1(\overline{\Omega} \setminus O), O = (0, 0)$ so that no radial limits $Rf(\theta)$ exist ($\theta \in [-\pi/2, \pi/2]$).*

In this case, $\alpha = \frac{\pi}{2}$; if γ is bounded away from 0 and π, then Proposition 1 would imply that $Rf(\theta)$ exists for each $\theta \in \left[-\frac{\pi}{2}, \frac{\pi}{2}\right]$ and therefore the contact angle $\gamma = \cos^{-1}(Tf \cdot \nu)$ in Proposition 2 is not bounded away from 0 and π.

In our case, the domain Ω has a convex corner of size 2α at $\mathcal{O}$ and we wish to investigate the question of whether an example like that in Proposition 2 exists in this case when γ is bounded away from 0 and π. In terms of the Concus-Finn rectangle, the question is whether, given $\epsilon > 0$, there is a $f \in C^2(\Omega) \cap C^1(\overline{\Omega} \setminus \{\mathcal{O}\})$ of (1)–(2) such that no radial limits $Rf(\theta)$ exist ($\theta \in [-\alpha, \alpha]$) and $|\gamma - \frac{\pi}{2}| \leq \alpha + \epsilon$; this is illustrated in Figure 2.



E F

Figure 2: The Concus-Finn rectangle. When γ remains in red region in E, $Rf(\cdot)$ exists; γ in Theorem 1 remains in the red region in F .

Theorem 1. *For each $\epsilon > 0$, there is a domain Ω as described above and a solution $f \in C^2(\Omega) \cap C^1(\overline{\Omega} \setminus \{\mathcal{O}\})$ of (1) such that the contact angle $\gamma = \cos^{-1}(Tf \cdot \nu) : \partial\Omega \setminus \{\mathcal{O}\} \to [0, \pi]$ satisfies $|\gamma - \frac{\pi}{2}| \leq \alpha + \epsilon$ and there exist a sequence $\{r_j\}$ in $(0, 1)$ with $\lim_{j\to\infty} r_j = 0$ such that*

$$(-1)^j f(r_j, 0) > 1 \qquad \text{for each } j \in \mathbb{N}.$$

Assuming Ω and γ are symmetric with respect to the line $\{(x, 0) : x \in \mathbb{R}\}$, this implies that no radial limit

$$Rf(\theta) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \lim_{r\downarrow 0} f(r\cos(\theta), r\sin(\theta)) \tag{3}$$

exists for any $\theta \in [-\alpha, \alpha]$.

We note that our Theorem is an extension of Theorem 3 of [5] to contact angle data in a domain with a convex corner. As in [4, 5], we first state and prove a localization lemma; this is analogous to the Lemma in [4] and Lemma 2 of [5].

Lemma 1. *Let $\Omega \subseteq \mathbb{R}^2$ be as above, $\epsilon > 0$, $\eta > 0$ and $\gamma_0 : \partial\Omega \setminus \{\mathcal{O}\} \to [0, \pi]$ such that $|\gamma_0 - \frac{\pi}{2}| \leq \alpha + \epsilon$. For each $\delta \in (0, 1)$ and $h \in C^2(\Omega) \cap C^1(\overline{\Omega} \setminus \{\mathcal{O}\})$ which satisfies (1) and (2) with $\gamma = \gamma_0$, there exists a solution $g \in C^2(\Omega) \cap C^1(\overline{\Omega} \setminus \{\mathcal{O}\})$ of (1) such that $\lim_{\overline{\Omega} \ni (x,y) \to (0,0)} g(x, y) = +\infty$,*

$$\sup_{\Omega_\delta} |g - h| < \eta \qquad \text{and} \qquad \left|\gamma_g - \frac{\pi}{2}\right| \leq \alpha + \epsilon, \tag{4}$$

where $\Omega_\delta = \overline{\Omega} \setminus B_\delta(\mathcal{O})$ and $\gamma_g = \cos^{-1}(Tg \cdot \nu) : \partial\Omega \setminus \{\mathcal{O}\} \to [0, \pi]$ is the contact angle which the graph of g makes with $\partial\Omega \times \mathbb{R}$.

Proof. Let $\epsilon, \eta, \delta, \Omega, h$ and γ_0 be given. For $\beta \in (0, \delta)$, let $g_\beta \in C^2(\Omega) \cap C^1(\overline{\Omega} \setminus \{\mathcal{O}\})$ satisfy (1) and (2) with $\gamma = \gamma_\beta$, where

$$\gamma_\beta = \begin{cases} \frac{\pi}{2} - \alpha - \epsilon & \text{on} \quad \overline{B_\beta(\mathcal{O})} \\ \gamma_0 & \text{on} \quad \overline{\Omega} \setminus B_\beta(\mathcal{O}). \end{cases}$$

As in the proof of Theorem 3 of [5], g_β converges to h, pointwise and uniformly in the C^1 norm on $\overline{\Omega_\delta}$ as β tends to zero. Fix $\beta > 0$ small enough that $\sup_{\Omega_\delta} |g - h| < \eta$.

Set $\Sigma = \{(r\cos(\theta), r\sin(\theta)) : r > 0, -\alpha \le \theta \le \alpha\}$. Now define $w : \Sigma \to \mathbb{R}$ by

$$w(r\cos\theta, r\sin\theta) = \frac{\cos\theta - \sqrt{k^2 - \sin^2\theta}}{k\kappa r},$$

where $k = \sin\alpha \sec\left(\frac{\pi}{2} - \alpha - \epsilon\right) = \sin\alpha \csc(\alpha + \epsilon)$. As in [1], there exists a $\delta_1 > 0$ such that $\operatorname{div}(Tw) - \frac{1}{2}w \ge 0$ on $\Sigma \cap B_{\delta_1}(\mathcal{O})$, $Tw \cdot \nu = \cos\left(\frac{\pi}{2} - \alpha - \epsilon\right)$ on $\partial\Sigma \cap B_{\delta_1}(\mathcal{O})$, and $\lim_{r\to 0^+} w(r\cos\theta, r\sin\theta) = \infty$ for each $\theta \in [-\alpha, \alpha]$. We may assume $\delta_1 \le \delta^*$. Let

$$M = \sup_{\Omega \cap \partial B_{\delta_1}(\mathcal{O})} |w - g_\beta| \quad \text{and} \quad w_\beta = w - M.$$

Since $\operatorname{div}(Tw_\beta) - \frac{1}{2}w_\beta \ge \frac{M}{2} \ge 0 = \operatorname{div}(Tg_\beta) - \frac{1}{2}g_\beta$ in $\Omega \cap B_{\delta_1}(\mathcal{O})$, $w_\beta \le g_\beta$ on $\Omega \cap \partial B_{\delta_1}(\mathcal{O})$ and $Tg_\beta \cdot \nu \ge Tw_\beta \cdot \nu$ on $\partial\Omega \cap B_{\delta_1}(\mathcal{O})$, we see that $g_\beta \ge w_\beta$ on $\Omega \cap \partial B_{\delta_1}(\mathcal{O})$. ∎

We may now prove Theorem 1.

Proof. We shall construct a sequence f_n of solutions of (1) and a sequence $\{r_n\}$ of positive real numbers such that $\lim_{n\to\infty} r_n = 0$, $f_n(x, y)$ is even in y and

$$(-1)^j f_n(r_j, 0) > 1 \quad \text{for each } j = 1, \ldots, n.$$

Let $\gamma_0 = \frac{\pi}{2}$ and $f_0 = 0$. Set $\eta_1 = 1$ and $\delta_1 = \delta_0$. From Lemma 1, there exists a $f_1 \in C^2(\Omega) \cap C^1(\overline{\Omega} \setminus \{\mathcal{O}\})$ which satisfies (1) such that $\sup_{\Omega_{\delta_1}} |f_1 - f_0| < \eta_1$, $\left|\gamma_1 - \frac{\pi}{2}\right| \le \alpha + \epsilon$ and $\lim_{\Omega \ni (x,y)\to\mathcal{O}} f_1(x, y) = -\infty$, where $\gamma_1 = \cos^{-1}(Tf_1 \cdot \nu)$. Then there exists $r_1 \in (0, \delta_1)$ such that $f_1(r_1, 0) < -1$.

Now set $\eta_2 = -(f_1(r_1, 0) + 1) > 0$ and $\delta_2 = r_1$. From Lemma 1, there exists a $f_2 \in C^2(\Omega) \cap C^1(\overline{\Omega} \setminus \{\mathcal{O}\})$ which satisfies (1) such that $\sup_{\Omega_{\delta_2}} |f_2 - f_1| < \eta_2$, $\left|\gamma_2 - \frac{\pi}{2}\right| \le \alpha + \epsilon$ and $\lim_{\Omega \ni (x,y)\to\mathcal{O}} f_2(x, y) = \infty$, where $\gamma_2 = \cos^{-1}(Tf_2 \cdot \nu)$. Then there exists $r_2 \in (0, \delta_2)$ such that $f_2(r_2, 0) > 1$. Since $(r_1, 0) \in \Omega_{\delta_2}$,

$$f_1(r_1, 0) + 1 < f_2(r_1, 0) - f_1(r_1, 0) < -(f_1(r_1, 0) + 1)$$

and so $f_2(r_1, 0) < -1$.

Next set $\eta_3 = \min\{-(f_2(r_1, 0) + 1), f_2(r_2, 0) - 1\} > 0$ and $\delta_3 = r_2$. From Lemma 1, there exists a $f_3 \in C^2(\Omega) \cap C^1(\overline{\Omega} \setminus \{\mathcal{O}\})$ which satisfies (1) such that $\sup_{\Omega_{\delta_3}} |f_3 - f_2| < \eta_3$, $\left|\gamma_3 - \frac{\pi}{2}\right| \le \alpha + \epsilon$ and $\lim_{\Omega \ni (x,y)\to\mathcal{O}} f_3(x, y) = -\infty$, where $\gamma_3 = \cos^{-1}(Tf_3 \cdot \nu)$. Then there exists $r_3 \in (0, \delta_3)$ such that $f_3(r_3, 0) < -1$. Since $(r_1, 0), (r_2, 0) \in \Omega_{\delta_2}$, we have

$$f_2(r_1, 0) + 1 < f_3(r_1, 0) - f_2(r_1, 0) < -(f_2(r_1, 0) + 1)$$

and

$$-(f_2(r_2, 0) - 1) < f_3(r_2, 0) - f_2(r_2, 0) < f_2(r_2, 0) - 1;$$

hence $f_3(r_1,0) < -1$ and $1 < f_3(r_2,0)$.

Continuing to define f_n and r_n inductively, we set

$$\eta_{n+1} = \min_{1\le j\le n} |f_n(r_j,0) - (-1)^j| \quad \text{and} \quad \delta_{n+1} = \min\left\{r_n, \frac{1}{n}\right\}.$$

From Lemma 1, there exists $f_{n+1} \in C^2(\Omega)\cap C^1(\overline{\Omega}\setminus\{\mathcal{O}\})$ which satisfies (1) such that $\sup_{\Omega_{\delta_{n+1}}} |f_{n+1}-f_n| < \eta_{n+1}$, $\left|\gamma_{n+1} - \frac{\pi}{2}\right| \le \alpha+\epsilon$ and $\lim_{\Omega\ni(x,y)\to\mathcal{O}} f_{n+1}(x,y) = (-1)^{n+1}\infty$, where $\gamma_{n+1} = \cos^{-1}(Tf_{n+1}\cdot\nu)$. Then there exists $r_{n+1} \in (0,\delta_{n+1})$ such that $(-1)^{n+1}f_{n+1}(r_{n+1},0) > 1$. For each $j \in \{1,\dots,n\}$ which is an even number, we have

$$-(f_n(r_j,0)-1) < f_{n+1}(r_j,0) - f_n(r_j,0) < f_n(r_j,0) - 1$$

and so $1 < f_{n+1}(r_j,0)$. For each $j \in \{1,\dots,n\}$ which is an odd number, we have

$$f_n(r_j,0)+1 < f_{n+1}(r_j,0) - f_n(r_j,0) < -(f_n(r_j,0)+1)$$

and so $f_{n+1}(r_j,0) < -1$.

As in [5, 6], there is a subsequence of $\{f_n\}$, still denoted $\{f_n\}$, which converges pointwise and uniformly in the C^1 norm on $\overline{\Omega_\delta}$ for each $\delta > 0$ as $n \to \infty$ to a solution $f \in C^2(\Omega)\cap C^1\left(\overline{\Omega}\setminus\mathcal{O}\right)$ of (1). For each $j \in \mathbb{N}$ which is even, $f_n(r_j,0) > 1$ for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and so $f(r_j,0) \ge 1$. For each $j \in \mathbb{N}$ which is odd, $f_n(r_j,0) < -1$ for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and so $f(r_j,0) \le -1$. Therefore

$$\lim_{r\to 0^+} f(r,0) \text{ does not exist, even as an infinite limit,}$$

and so $Rf(0)$ does not exist.

Since Ω is symmetric with respect to the $x-$axis and $\gamma_n(x,y)$ is an even function of y, $f(x,y)$ is an even function of y. Now suppose that there exists $\theta_0 \in [-\alpha,\alpha]$ such that $Rf(\theta_0)$ exists; then $\theta_0 \neq 0$. From the symmetry of f, $Rf(-\theta_0)$ must also exist and $Rf(-\theta_0) = Rf(\theta_0)$. Set $\Omega' = \{(r\cos\theta, r\sin\theta) : 0 < r < \delta_0, -\theta_0 < \theta < \theta_0\} \subset \Omega$. Since f has continuous boundary values on $\partial\Omega'$, $f \in C^0\left(\overline{\Omega'}\right)$ and so $Rf(0)$ does exist, which is a contradiction. Thus $Rf(\theta)$ does not exist for any $\theta \in [-\alpha,\alpha]$. ∎

References

[1] P. Concus and R. Finn, *On a Class Of Capillary Surfaces*, Journal d'Analyse Mathmatique **Vol. 23** No. 1 (1970), 65–70.

[2] J. N. Crenshaw, A. K. Echart and K. E. Lancaster, *A Generalization of "Existence and Behavior of the Radial Limits of a Bounded Capillary Surface at a Corner"*, submitted to the Pacific J. Math.

[3] R. Finn, *Equilibrium Capillary Surfaces*, Springer-Verlag (1986).

[4] K. E. Lancaster, *Existence and nonexistence of radial limits of minimal surfaces*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **106** (1989), 757–762.

[5] K. E. Lancaster and D. Siegel, *Existence and Behavior of the Radial Limits of a Bounded Capillary Surface at a Corner*, Pacific J. Math. **Vol. 176**, No. 1 (1996), 165–194.

[6] D. Siegel, *Height Estimates For Capillary Surfaces*, Pacific J. Math. **Vol.88**, No. 2 (1980), 471–515.